

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                       | F                     | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO            |  |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--|
| 09/819,813                            | 09/819,813 03/29/2001 |            | John Kendrup         | 22912.US            | 1138                       |  |
| 28122                                 | 7590                  | 12/01/2004 |                      | EXAMINER            |                            |  |
|                                       |                       | & WESTERN  | SPEAR, JAMES M       |                     |                            |  |
| P.O. BOX 1219<br>SANDY, UT 84091-1219 |                       |            |                      | ART UNIT            | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1615 |  |
|                                       |                       |            |                      | 1615                |                            |  |

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Applica             | tion No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---------------------|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|
|   |  |                     | ,813  | KENDRUP ET AL. |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary  | Examin              | er  | Art Unit       |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | James I             | M Spear   | 1615           |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |                     |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |                     |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Status .  |  |                     |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ R€  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 June 2004</u> .  |                     |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u> ⊤h  | nis action is <b>FINAL</b> .   | 2b)⊠ This action is | non-final.  |                |  |  |  |  |
| •   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |                     |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |                     |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 4a)<br>5)⊠ Cl:<br>6)⊠ Cl:<br>7)⊠ Cl:  | <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-22 and 25 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 1-7,9,10,14-19,21,22 and 25 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 13 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 8,11,12 and 20 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul> |                     |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Application   | Papers   |                     |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>   |  |                     |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |                     |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  JAMES M. SPEAR  |  |                     |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |                     |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of 2) Notice of 3) Informati  | f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Disclosure Disclosure).  | •                   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: |                |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/819,813

Art Unit: 1615

The Change In Power Of Attorney filed 05 August 2004 and Amendment filed 06 June 2004 have been received and entered. Enclosed is a copy of the Interview of 04 November 2004. A telephone call was made to David W. Osborne on 26 November 2004 to discuss issues that have recently come to the examiner's attention and have not been previously addressed however the examiner was unable to reach the attorney to resolve these issues. Following are objections and a new ground of rejection.

- 1. Claims 8, 11, 12, 20 objected to because of the following informalities: The claims contain several misspelled words. The claims should be carefully reviewed to correct any other inconsistencies. Examples of some misspellings are claim 8, methylmetacrylate, trimethylamonioethylmetacrylatchloride, claim 11, antiinflamatorics, antidiarrhoetics, claim 12, pseudoephedrin, oxybutuin. Appropriate correction is required.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as

Application/Control Number: 09/819,813

Art Unit: 1615

to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 13 recites the broad recitation "at most 20% of organic solvent", and the claim also recites "preferably at most 10%" and "most preferably at most 5%" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claims 1-7, 9, 10, 14-19, 21, 22 and 25 are allowed.

Claims 8, 11, 12 and 20 are objected to.

Claim 13 is rejected.

Claims 23 and 24 have been canceled.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M Spear whose telephone number is 571 272 0605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 6:30 AM to 3 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page, can be reached on 571 272 0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/819,813

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James M, Spear

James M Spear

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1615

November 26, 2004